

71

ADEQ

ARKANSAS
Department of Environmental Quality



May 11, 2006

Trevor Bowman, Public Works Director
City of Siloam Springs
P.O. Box 80
Siloam Springs, AR 72761

RE: AFIN: 04-00106

NPDES Permit No.: AR0020273

Dear Mr. Bowman:

On April 13, 2006, Don Morgan, District Field Inspector, and I performed a routine pretreatment compliance inspection of the waste water treatment facility in accordance with the provisions of the federal Clean Water Act, the Arkansas Water and Air Pollution Control Act, and the regulations promulgated there under. This inspection revealed that you are in compliance with terms of your permit.

If I can be any assistance, please contact me at 479-927-3257 ext 12.

Sincerely,

Alison West
District Field Inspector
Water Division

cc: NPDES Branch



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Washington, D.C. 20460

Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0003
Approval Expires 7-31-85

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding

Transaction Code	NPDES	yr/mo/day	Inspec. Type	Inspector	Fac Type
1 N 2 5 3 A R 0 0 2 0 2 7 3 11 12 0 6 0 4 1 3 17 18 P 19 S 20 1					
Remarks					
A F I N 0 4 - 0 0 1 0 6					
Inspection Work Days	Facility Evaluation Rating	BI	QA	Reserved	
67 69	70 N	71 N	72 N	73	74 75 80

Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number) Siloam Springs Pollution Control Plant 975 Anderson Ave Siloam Springs, AR 72761	Entry Time /Date 9:00 a.m./4-13-06	Permit Effective Date April 1, 2002
	Exit Time/Date 3:30 p.m./4-13-06	Permit Expiration Date March 31, 2007
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s) Tom Myers/Wastewater Superintendent/479-524-5623/479-524-4653	Other Facility Data	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number Trevor Bowman/Public Works Director/479-524-5136/479-524-8513 City of Siloam Springs 400 N. Broadway/P.O. Box 80 Siloam Springs, AR 72761	Contacted Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

N	Permit	N	Flow Measurement	N	Operations & Maintenance	N	Sampling
N	Records/Reports	N	Self-Monitoring Program	N	Sludge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention
N	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Schedules	S	Pretreatment	N	Multimedia
N	Effluent/Receiving Waters	N	Laboratory	N	Storm Water	N	Other: Effluent Limits

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

1. 3 out of 4 industry permits had expired. Expiration date was the following for each industry: Gates Rubber 4-1-06, Franklin Electric 3-31-06, and CobbVantress 3-31-06.

In addition, if an industry has parameters in the permit that are report only; then, it is strongly recommended that the city sample and analyze that parameter as well each year.

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Alison West Don Morgan	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax Arkansas Dept. of Environmental Quality/ Springdale/479-927-3257 ext 12 /479-927-3261	Date April 27, 2006
ddw		
Signature of Reviewer	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers	Date

ARKANSAS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI) REPORT

+++++

Name of Municipality: City of Siloam Springs

AFIN Number: 04-00106

NPDES Permit Numbers: AR0020273

Program Tracked under NPDES Permit Number: AR0020273

Fact Sheet Preparation Date: Unknown

Date of Last PCI/Audit: PCI 10/14/04 AUDIT 9/24-26/02

Date of Last Annual Report: 8-25-05

Name of Inspector: Alison West

Date PCI Performed: 4-13-06

Name, Title, and Telephone Number of Facility Representative:

Tom Myers, Wastewater Superintendent, 479-524-5623

Name and Title of Other Participants: Don Morgan, District Field Inspector

Number of IUs Visited: 2

Name(s) of IUs Visited: Franklin Electric and Gates Rubber

Note: AN IU SITE VISIT FORM SHOULD BE COMPLETED FOR EACH IU VISITED

+++++

NOTE: ANY QUESTION PRINTED IN ALL CAPS AND BOLD PRINT INDICATED A REGULATORY REQUIREMENT AND MUST BE ANSWERED FOR THE PCI REPORT TO BE COMPLETE. A NO ANSWER TO ONE OF THESE QUESTIONS SHOULD RESULT IN AN UNSATISFACTORY RATING.

+++++ Form approved July 1989

A. INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY

1. List any Significant Industrial Users (SIUs) which have been added or deleted from the program since the last audit or inspection.

None

2. Has ADEQ or EPA been notified of these changes? N/A

3. **HAS THE INDUSTRIAL USER SURVEY BEEN KEPT UPDATED?** Yes, 2006.

4. What procedures are being used to update the IU Survey?
The Pretreatment Coordinator is notified by the city building permits department of any new industry. Also, survey forms are sent out periodically to current permit holders and other non-regulated IUS. Any IU'S that do not respond are scheduled for inspection. Also use the phone book looking for new listings. There is, also, a questionnaire and permit information on their internet site.

5. Total number of Significant Industrial Users, according to the definition used by the POTW. (This number must be greater than or equal to the answer to question 6) 4

6. Number of Categorical Industrial Users: 2

7. How does the POTW determine the appropriate categorical standards to apply to an IU? Federal regulations are researched and appropriate standards are applied. Also, EPA and the ADEQ are consulted when assistance is needed.

8. List all categorical IUs discharging under the approved program. Include the name of the IU, the regulatory category (such as Metal Finishing), and the regulated process (phosphating, zinc plating, etc.) Additional listings can be made in the comments section if necessary.

Name of IU:	Category:	Regulated Process:
<u>Franklin Electric</u>	<u>Metal Finishing</u>	<u>Phosphatizing</u>
<u>Gates Rubber Company</u>	<u>Rubber Manufacturing</u>	<u>Vulcanizers</u>

B. LOCAL LIMITS

1. **IS THE POTW APPLYING LOCAL LIMITS WHICH HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY ADEQ OR EPA?**

Yes

2. Describe any apparent problems with the local limits. There are no apparent problems with the local limits.

3. How often are pollutant scans of POTW influent, effluent, and sludge performed by the POTW? Does this fulfill the requirements of the approved program (as described in the fact sheet) and part III of the NPDES permit?

Pollutant:	Frequency:	Requirement in		Comments:	
		Permit:	Program:		
Metals:					
Table III	influent	<u>4 times/yr</u>	<u>4 times</u>	<u>not req.</u>	<u>None</u>
	effluent	<u>4 times/yr</u>	<u>4 times</u>	<u>not req.</u>	<u>None</u>
	sludge	<u>2 times/yr</u>	<u>2 times</u>	<u>not req.</u>	<u>None</u>
Organics:					
Table II	influent	<u>once/yr</u>	<u>Once/yr</u>	<u>not req.</u>	<u>None</u>
	effluent	<u>once/yr</u>	<u>Once/yr</u>	<u>not req.</u>	<u>None</u>
	sludge	<u>two times/yr</u>	<u>once/yr</u>	<u>not req.</u>	<u>None</u>

4. Have there been any inhibitions or upsets at the POTW (since the last PCI of Audit) which were believed to be caused by industrial discharges? If so, describe the action taken by the City to ensure that the incident would not recur. Were these actions effective?

No

C. INDUSTRIAL USER CONTROL MECHANISM

1. Is the POTW using the type of control mechanism (permit, agreement, etc.) required by the approved program?

Yes, permit.

2. How many IU permits (or other control documents) have been issued?

4

3. **DO ALL SIGNIFICANT IUS HAVE CURRENT (UNEXPIRED) CONTROL DOCUMENTS? IF NOT, LIST ALL UNPERMITTED SIUS, THE DATE OF EXPIRATION OF THEIR PREVIOUS PERMIT (IF APPLICABLE), AND THE REASON FOR DELAY IN ISSUING THE REQUIRED DOCUMENT.**

No. The following permits are expired: Gates Rubber 4-1-06, Franklin Electric 3-31-06, and Cobb Vantress 3-31-06. According to Mr. Myers, the reason for delay is due to the bypass prohibition being added to the permits. At this time, the city is waiting for approval from the environmental attorney to add bypass prohibition to the industries permits.

4. Does the control document contain the following items?

An expiration date yes

Discharge limitations yes

If the program requires self-monitoring by the IUs, do the permits contain

IU self-monitoring requirements yes

IU reporting requirements yes

5. Indicate which of the following recommended standard conditions are contained in the control documents:

sample location yes

type of sample yes

monitoring frequency yes

bypass prohibition No

right of entry yes

nontransferability yes

revocation clause yes

penalty provisions yes

slug load notification yes

notification of process change yes

D. MONITORING OF IUS BY POTW

1. Indicate current inspection and sampling frequency and program requirement below:

	Current frequency:	Program Requirement:
Sampling:		
categorical IUs	<u>Once/yr</u>	<u>Once/yr</u>
other SIUs	<u>Once/yr</u>	<u>Once/yr</u>
Inspection:		
categorical IUs	<u>Once/yr</u>	<u>Once/yr</u>
other SIUs	<u>Once/yr</u>	<u>Once/yr</u>

2. **HAS EACH SIU BEEN INSPECTED AND SAMPLED AT THE FREQUENCY REQUIRED BY THE APPROVED PROGRAM?**

Yes

3. Are inspections announced or unannounced? Both
4. Are records kept of each inspection? Yes
5. Does the inspection report contain an adequate description of the following:
- Date and time of inspection Yes
- Officials present Yes
- Inspection of chemical storage areas Yes
- Description of regulated processes, categorical wastestreams, and discharge location of these wastestreams Yes
- Inspection of the pretreatment facilities Yes
- Review of self-monitoring records Yes
- Observation of IU self-monitoring procedures Yes
- Verification that approved analytical techniques are used Yes
- Verification of IU flow measurement (where required) Yes

6. Overall adequacy of inspection documentation: Good.

7. DOES THE POTW SAMPLE IUS FOR ALL POLLUTANTS REGULATED IN THEIR PERMITS? (IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO SAMPLE FOR ALL POLLUTANTS EVERY TIME, BUT IT MUST BE DONE PERIODICALLY).

At the time of inspection, all the parameters had not been analyzed for Franklin Electric and Cobb Vantress for this fiscal year. Franklin Electric had not been sampled and analyzed for Total Mercury, Total Arsenic, Ammonia, and Nitrate. For Cobb Vantress, Total Copper, Total Cyanide, and Ammonia had not been sampled and analyzed. These parameters were report only in the industry's permit.

8. Are analyses performed in accordance with EPA-approved methods (40 CFR 136)? Yes

9. Are sampling and flow monitoring equipment properly maintained?

Yes

10. Is the POTW keeping proper field notes and chain of custody forms?

Yes

11. Is the sampling location representative of the discharge to the collection system? Yes

12. Are sampling locations identified in POTW records? Yes

13. Are sampling services available in an emergency? Yes

14. What are the POTW's procedures for tracking receipt and review of IU reports, such as BMR's, semi-annual reports, progress reports, bypass reports, and self-monitoring reports? Reports are

reviewed by the pretreatment compliance officer. However, there are no standardized procedures for tracking receipt and review of the reports.

15. ARE SELF-MONITORING REPORTS REVIEWED TO VERIFY THAT ANALYSES WERE PERFORMED FOR ALL REGULATED PARAMETERS, AND TO EVALUATE COMPLIANCE WITH EFFLUENT LIMITS? Yes

16. IF VIOLATIONS ARE FOUND IN REPORTS, DOES THE POTW RESPOND TO ALL VIOLATIONS? Yes

17. What are the POTW's procedures for following up violations?
The city responds as specified in it's Enforcement Response Plan.
18. **HAS THE POTW REVIEWED BMRS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH 40 CFR 403.12(b)?**
N/A, there are no new categorical industries since the last inspection.

Review a Baseline Monitoring Report from the POTW's file, and indicate which of the following items can be identified in the BMR:

Name and address N/A
Other environmental permits held N/A
Description of operations N/A
Process flow diagrams N/A
Flow measurements N/A
Measurements of regulated pollutants N/A
Certification of compliance by the IU N/A
Compliance schedule (if needed) N/A

19. Additional comments on the POTW's inspection and sampling procedures:
Review of the inspection report form revealed that "prohibition of bypass" was not included as a line item on the inspection report form. Facility is in the process of adding "prohibition of bypass" to the industry's permits. The city is waiting for approval from the environmental lawyer.

E. ENFORCEMENT

1. **HAS THE POTW IMPLEMENTED ENFORCEMENT RESPONSE PROCEDURES TO ADEQUATELY ADDRESS EVERY IU VIOLATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS?**

Yes, the facility has a enforcement response plan.

2. How does the POTW respond to the following violations?

Effluent limitations Follows written enforcement response
procedures as outlined in the approved program.

Late reports Follows written enforcement response procedures as
outlined in the approved program.

Unpermitted discharges Follows written enforcement response
procedures as outlined in the approved program.

Slug loads or spills Follows written enforcement response
procedures as outlined in the approved program.

3. **IS THE LIST OF SIGNIFICANT VIOLATORS PUBLISHED BY THE POTW DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EPA REGION VI CRITERIA FOR SIGNIFICANT VIOLATING INDUSTRIAL USER (DATED AUGUST 22, 1985)?**

No significant violators for the Fiscal Year of 2005.

4. List the SIUs which have met the criteria for Significant Violator within the last 12 months, and describe the enforcement action which has been taken by the POTW. If construction is required, please indicate whether the IU has been placed on an enforceable compliance schedule.

Name:	Type of Violation:	Enforcement Action:	Compliance Deadline:
-------	--------------------	---------------------	----------------------

NONE

5. Comments on the POTW's enforcement procedures: Enforcement
response procedures are adequate.

F. POTW'S PRETREATMENT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE

1. Is the program structure essentially the same as that presented in the approved pretreatment program? Yes

2. Are staffing levels adequate? Yes

3. Are the responsible officials familiar with the approved program?
Yes

G. MULTIJURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

1. List any IUs which are located outside of the jurisdictional area of the POTW: None

2. Does the POTW have adequate procedures for controlling IUs located outside its jurisdictional area? N/A

3. Does the POTW have copies of permits for IUs in user cities?
N/A

4. Have any of these IUs met the criteria for Significant Violator? If so, have they been published by the POTW in its annual list of Significant Violators? N/A

5. Comments on multijurisdictional issues: None

H. EVALUATION AND COMMENTS

It is strongly recommended that permits issued to the IU's contain bypass prohibition. The city is waiting for approval from the environmental lawyer. There are three out of four industry permits that are expired. At the time of inspection, all the parameters had not been analyzed for Franklin Electric and Cobb Vantress. Franklin Electric had not been sampled and analyzed for Total Mercury, Total Arsenic, Ammonia, and Nitrate. For Cobb Vantress, Total Copper, Total Cyanide, and Ammonia had not been sampled and analyzed. According to the industries permit, these parameter were report only.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION
IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Franklin Electric

POTW Name: City of Siloam Springs

Industry Contacts: Tim Cloud, Plant Engineer

Date and Time of Visit: 4-16-05 2:30 PM

Description of Manufacturing Process:
Manufacture of Electric Submersible Pumps

Sources of Process Wastewater:
Pressure Test Tanks, Cooling Towers, Etching Rinse

Categorical Industry? Yes

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:
Facility does not pretreat their wastewater.

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:
SPCC Plan. Solvents are picked up by Rineco.

Sampling Location and Equipment: An automatic sampler is used for sampling. The sampler is set up by ESC, and a ESC employee collects the sample and transports the sample to the ESC laboratory. A parshall flume with a 3" throat and ultrasonic meter is used to measure flow. Proper calibration checks are being performed by Franklin Electric.

PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION
IU SITE VISIT FORM

Name of Industry: Gates Rubber

POTW Name: City of Siloam Springs

Industry Contacts: Bill Medley, Environmental Health and Safety Coordinator

Date and Time of Visit: 4-13-06 1:20 PM

Description of Manufacturing Process:

Manufactures Power Transmission Belts

Sources of Process Wastewater:

Contact and non-contact cooling water, steam condensate, and wastewater from the vulcanization processes.

Categorical Industry? Yes

Description of Pretreatment Equipment and Procedures:

The only pretreatment is a belt oil skimmer.

Spill Prevention and Solvent Management Procedures:

An integrated contingency plan and an SPCC Plan. Rineco picks up waste solvents.

Sampling Location and Equipment:

Manhole next to the flow monitoring building near the SE corner of the Gates Rubber building. Environmental Services Lab collects the sample by use of an Isco auto sampler.

PPETS CODE SHEET
 PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE INSPECTION (PCI)

	CODE
INSPECTOR'S NAME <u>Alison West/Don Morgan</u>	
NAME OF FACILITY <u>City of Siloam Springs POTW</u>	
PERMIT NUMBER USED TO TRACK PROGRAM <u>AR0020273</u>	NPID
DATE OF PCI <u>4-13-06</u>	DTIA

PPETS WENDB DATA ELEMENTS

NUMBER OF SIGNIFICANT IUS (SIUS) <u>4</u>	SIUS
NUMBER OF CATEGORICAL IUS <u>2</u>	CIUS
SIUS NOT SAMPLED OR INSPECTED BY POTW <u>0</u>	NOIN
SIUS WITHOUT CONTROL MECHANISM <u>0</u>	NOCM
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS OR REPORTING <u>0</u>	PSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING REQUIREMENTS <u>0</u>	MSNC
SIUS IN SIGNIFICANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SELF-MONITORING AND NOT INSPECTED OR SAMPLED BY POTW <u>0</u>	SNIN



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Washington, D.C. 20460

Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0003
Approval Expires 7-31-85

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding

Transaction Code			NPDES										yr/mo/day					Inspec. Type		Inspector		Fac Type							
1	N	2	5	3	A	R	0	0	2	0	2	7	3	11	12	0	6	0	4	1	3	17	18	I	19	S	20	2	
Remarks																													
0	0	2	C																										
Inspection Work Days				Facility Evaluation Rating				BI		QA		-----Reserved-----																	
67				69	70	N	71	N	72	N	73			74	75														80

Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected <i>(For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number)</i>		Entry Time /Date		Permit Effective Date	
Gates Rubber 1801 N. Lincoln Siloam Springs, AR		4-13-06 1:20 PM		N/A	
POTW: City of Siloam Springs Permit #: AR0020273		Exit Time/Date		Permit Expiration Date	
		4-13-06 2:10 PM		N/A	
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)				Other Facility Data	
Bill Medley/Environmental Health and Safety Coordinator/479-524-8164/NA					
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number				Contacted	
Bill Medley/Environmental Health and Safety Coordinator/479-524-8164/NA 1801 N. Lincoln Siloam Springs, AR 72761				Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

S	Permit	N	Flow Measurement	N	Operations & Maintenance	N	Sampling
N	Records/Reports	N	Self-Monitoring Program	N	Sludge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention
N	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Schedules	Y	Pretreatment	N	Multimedia
N	Effluent/Receiving Waters	N	Laboratory	N	Storm Water	N	Other:

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

Contract lab does all sampling and analysis for the industry.

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Don W. Morgan Alison West	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax ADEQ/Springdale/(479) 927-3257, Ext 13/(479) 927-3261 ADEQ/Springdale/(479) 927-3257, Ext 12/(479) 927-3261	Date 4-19-06
Signature of Management QA Reviewer	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers	Date

POTW Pretreatment Program

Industrial Site Visit

Name of Industry: Gates Rubber

Industry Contacts: Bill Medley, Environmental Health and Safety Coordinator

Type of Industry: Manufacturer of Power Transmission Belts

Date of Visit: 4-13-06

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 1. significant industrial user: | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> not determined |
| 2. pretreatment equipment or procedures? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 3. pretreatment equipment maintained and operational? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 4. hazardous waste generated or stored? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 5. proper solid waste disposal? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 6. solvent management/tto control | <u> </u> yes <u> </u> no <u>X</u> n/a |
| 7. suitable sampling location? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 8. appropriate self-monitoring procedures/equipment? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 9. adequate spill prevention? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 10. industry familiar with limits and requirements? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |

Additional Comments: **NONE**

Visit Conducted by (signature): Alison West and Don Morgan Date: 4-13-06
ACI:X-2430



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
Washington, D.C. 20460

Form Approved
OMB No. 2040-0003
Approval Expires 7-31-85

NPDES Compliance Inspection Report

Section A: National Data System Coding

Transaction Code			NPDES										yr/mo/day					Inspec. Type		Inspector		Fac Type							
1	N	2	5	3	A	R	0	0	2	0	2	7	3	11	12	0	6	0	4	1	3	17	18	I	19	S	20	2	
Remarks																													
0	0	2	C																										
Inspection Work Days				Facility Evaluation Rating				BI		QA		-----Reserved-----																	
67				69				70	N	71	N	72	N	73			74	75											80

Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number)		Entry Time /Date		Permit Effective Date	
Franklin Electric 650 U.S. HW 412 W Siloam Springs, AR		4-13-06 2:30 PM		N/A	
POTW: City of Siloam Springs Permit #: AR0020273		Exit Time/Date		Permit Expiration Date	
		4-13-06 3:15 PM		N/A	
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)				Other Facility Data	
Tim Cloud/Plant Engineer/479-524-9331/479-549-1030					
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number				Contacted	
Tim Cloud/Plant Engineer//479-524-9331/479-549-1030				Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
P.O. Box 490					
Siloam Springs, AR 72761					

Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)

S	Permit	N	Flow Measurement	N	Operations & Maintenance	N	Sampling
N	Records/Reports	N	Self-Monitoring Program	N	Sludge Handling/Disposal	N	Pollution Prevention
N	Facility Site Review	N	Compliance Schedules	Y	Pretreatment	N	Multimedia
N	Effluent/Receiving Waters	N	Laboratory	N	Storm Water	N	Other:

Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

Contract lab does all sampling and analysis for the industry.

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) Don W. Morgan Alison West	Agency/Office/Telephone/Fax ADEQ/Springdale/(479) 927-3257, Ext 13/(479) 927-3261 ADEQ/Springdale/(479) 927-3257, Ext 12/(479) 927-3261	Date 4-19-06
Signature of Management QA Reviewer	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Numbers	Date

POTW Pretreatment Program

Industrial Site Visit

Name of Industry: Franklin Electric

Industry Contacts: Tim Cloud, Plant Engineer

Type of Industry: Metal Finishing/Manufactures Submersible Electric Pumps

Date of Visit: 4-13-06

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 1. significant industrial user: | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> not determined |
| 2. pretreatment equipment or procedures? | <u> </u> yes <u>X</u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 3. pretreatment equipment maintained and operational? | <u> </u> yes <u> </u> no <u>X</u> n/a |
| 4. hazardous waste generated or stored? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 5. proper solid waste disposal? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 6. solvent management/tto control | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 7. suitable sampling location? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 8. appropriate self-monitoring procedures/equipment? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 9. adequate spill prevention? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |
| 10. industry familiar with limits and requirements? | <u>X</u> yes <u> </u> no <u> </u> n/a |

Additional Comments: NONE

Visit Conducted by (signature): Alison West and Don Morgan Date: 4-13-06
ACI: X-2430